PHYSICS OF FLUIDS VOLUME 11, NUMBER 4 APRIL 1999

Variational methods and nonlinear quasigeostrophic waves

Jingiao Duana)

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina 29634

Darryl D. Holm

Center for Nonlinear Studies and Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

Kaitai Li

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina 29634 and Research Center for Applied Mathematics, Xian Jiaotong University, Xian, 710049, China

(Received 6 May 1998; accepted 17 December 1998)

In this paper, we discuss zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic waves in a β -plane channel, by using variational methods. A class of steady quasigeostrophic waves are determined by the potential vorticity field profile, $g(\cdot)$, which is a function of the stream function. We show that zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic waves exist when the bottom topography and the potential vorticity field are bounded. We also show that these waves are unique if, in addition, the potential vorticity field profile is increasing and passes through the origin. Finally, we demonstrate that the zonal periodic wave in the case with $g(\psi) = \arctan(\psi)$ is nonlinearly stable in the sense of Liapunov, under a boundedness condition for the potential vorticity field, or equivalently, under suitable conditions on the bottom topography, β parameter, and zonal period T. © 1999 American Institute of Physics. [S1070-6631(99)00804-1]

I. INTRODUCTION

Geophysical fluid dynamicists often use simplified partial differential equation models which are intended to capture the key features of large scale phenomena and filter out undesired fast (high frequency) oscillations. An important example of such a partial differential equation is the quasi-geostrophic model, ^{1,2}

$$q_t + J(\psi, q) = 0,$$
 (1.1)

where q is the potential vorticity

$$q = \Delta \psi - \frac{1}{R^2} \psi + \beta y + h(x, y),$$

 $\psi(x,y,t)$ is the stream function, x and y are coordinates in the zonal and meridional directions, respectively, R is the Rossby deformation radius (the distance over which the gravitational tendency to render the free surface flat is balanced by the tendency of the Coriolis acceleration to deform the surface), $\beta > 0$ is the meridional gradient of the Coriolis parameter, and h(x,y) is the bottom topography. Moreover, Δ is the Laplacian operator in the x,y plane and $J(f,g) = f_x g_y - f_y g_x$ is the Jacobian operator. This same equation also models plasma drift waves.³

The quasigeostrophic equation may be derived as an approximation of the rotating shallow water equations by the conventional asymptotic expansion in small Rossby number. Schochet has recently shown that the shallow water flows converge to the quasigeostrophic flows in the limit

of zero Rossby number, i.e., at asymptotically high rotation rate, for prepared initial data. This convergence is pointwise for finite time and in a certain Sobolev norm for space.

Steady patterns correspond to permanent geophysical regimes and they have been studied in, e.g., Ref. 2, p. 221, Ref. 1, p. 93, Meacham and Flierl. 5.6 There has also been much research on stability of steady states of the quasigeostrophic equation; see, for example, Holm *et al.*, Benzi *et al.*, McIntyre and Shepherd, Mu, 10,11 and Ripa. 12

The methods of functional analysis have often been applied effectively in geophysical fluid dynamics. See, e.g., Bourgoise and Beale, 13 and Babin *et al.* 14,15 for recent interesting results using this approach. This paper shows existence, uniqueness, and nonlinear stability of zonally periodic (i.e., periodic in eastward direction x) steady quasigeostrophic waves under suitable conditions on the bottom topography and the potential vorticity field, by applying well-known variational methods in direct calculations, together with results from nonlinear partial differential equations theory, that illustrate these methods.

II. STEADY QUASIGEOSTROPHIC WAVES

Steady quasigeostrophic waves satisfy

$$J(\psi,q) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $J(\psi, -(1/R^2)\psi) = 0$, this equation leads to

$$J(\psi, \Delta\psi + \beta y + h(x, y)) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

which implies that ψ and $\Delta \psi + \beta y + h(x,y)$ are functionally dependent. We assume this dependence may be expressed as a semilinear elliptic partial differential equation

a)Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: duan@math.clemson.edu; Fax: (864)656-5230.

$$\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} + \beta y + h(x,y) = g(\psi),$$
 (2.4)

where $g(\psi)$ is an arbitrary smooth function. This is related to the fact that steady states are constrained energy minima. This equation also says that the Rossby deformation radius does not directly play a role in the setup of this class of steady waves (since $J(\psi, -(1/R^2)\psi)=0$). The quantity $\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} + \beta y + h(x,y)$ at the left-hand side of (2.4) is the potential vorticity with infinite Rossby deformation radius. We just call it the potential vorticity in this paper. Once $g(\psi)$ has been determined by specifying the potential vorticity at one point on each streamline, (2.4) determines the steady flow (Ref. 1, p.93). Due to potential vorticity conservation, the boundedness of $g(\psi)$ means boundedness of the potential vorticity field. In the following we assume that $g(\psi)$ may be chosen and study zonally periodic steady flows.

III. VARIATIONAL METHODS AND TOPOGRAPHIC EFFECT

We consider Eq. (2.4) on the zonal domain $(\beta$ -channel),

$$-\infty < x < \infty$$
, $0 < y < 1$.

This problem has a variational principle, $\delta \mathcal{L} = 0$, with Lagrangian function

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi) = \int \left[\frac{1}{2} \psi_x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_y^2 - (\beta y + h(x, y)) \psi + G(\psi) \right] dx dy,$$
(3.5)

where $G(\psi) = \int_0^{\psi} g(s) ds$. We seek a solution ψ that is periodic in zonal x direction and satisfies homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions in meridional y direction, namely, $\psi = 0$ at y = 0 and y = 1.

We denote $L_T^2 = L_T^2((0,T) \times (0,1))$ as the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions which are periodic in x with period T > 0. We also denote $H_T^1 = H_T^1((0,T) \times (0,1))$ as the subspace of the usual Sobolev space $H^1((-\infty,\infty) \times (0,1))$ consisting of functions which are periodic in x with period T > 0 and satisfy homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions at y = 0 and y = 1. Similarly we can define H_T^p, L_T^p for positive integers p. Moreover, $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|$ are the usual norms in H_T^1, L_T^2 , respectively. Note that since the Poincaré inequality holds, $H_T^{10} = H_T^{10} = H_T^$

$$(w_1, w_2) = \int w_1 w_2 dx dy$$

is the usual scalar product in L_T^2 .

A weak zonally periodic solution $\psi(x,y)$ in H_T^1 for (2.4) is defined to satisfy

$$-(\nabla \psi, \nabla \phi) + (\beta y + h(x, y), \phi) - (g(\psi), \phi) = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in H_T^1$$
(3.6)

$$\int \left[-\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \phi + (\beta y + h(x, y)) \phi - g(\psi) \phi \right] dx dy$$

$$= 0, \ \forall \phi \in H_T^1, \tag{3.7}$$

where the left-hand side defines a semibilinear form.

We use standard variational methods (as discussed in Ref. 18, and references therein) to prove existence of zonally periodic waves. To this end we show that $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ is weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive, which implies (by Theorem 1.2 in Ref. 18) that $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ attains its infimum. The minimizer ψ^* for this infimum is a weak zonally periodic solution of (2.4). Recall that $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ is called weakly lower semicontinuous, if for any weakly convergent sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ in H_T^1 , there holds

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{L}(\psi_n).$$

If

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi) \rightarrow \infty$$
 as $\|\psi\|_1 \rightarrow \infty$,

then $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ is called coercive.

Bona *et al.*¹⁶ considered steady periodic waves in stratified flows by variational methods. However, their results are valid for a different class of nonlinearity and do not apply to the present problem.

In showing that the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ is weakly lower semicontinuous, we first rewrite it as

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \psi, \nabla \psi) - (\beta y + h(x, y), \psi)$$
$$+ \int \left[\int_0^{\psi} g(s) ds \right] dx dy. \tag{3.8}$$

For the second term of the right-hand side to make sense, we assume that $\beta y + h(x,y)$ is in L_T^2 , and this is possible when, say, h(x,y) is bounded and T-periodic in x. It is easy to see that the first and the second term in $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ are weakly lower semicontinuous, noting the fact that the dual space $(L_T^2)'$ is contained in the dual space $(H_T^1)'$ and that $(L_T^2)'$ can be identified with L_T^2 itself. Now consider the third term. We further assume that $g(\psi)$ is bounded, $|g(\psi)| \leq M_1$. Note that

$$\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \int \left[\int_{0}^{\psi_{n}} g(s) ds \right] dx dy - \int \left[\int_{0}^{\psi} g(s) ds \right] dx dy \right\}$$

$$= \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \int \left[\int_{\psi}^{\psi_{n}} g(s) ds \right] dx dy$$

$$= \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \int g(\psi + \theta(\psi_{n} - \psi))(\psi_{n} - \psi) dx dy$$

$$\geq -\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} M_{1} \int |\psi_{n} - \psi| dx dy = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

where we have used the mean value theorem of integral calculus to write $\int_{-\psi}^{\psi_n} g(s) ds = g(\psi + \theta(\psi_n - \psi))(\psi_n - \psi)$ for some θ in (0,1). Thus the third term in $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ is weakly lower semicontinuous.

These calculations prove the following:

Lemma 1: If the bottom topography h(x,y) and potential vorticity field $g(\psi)$ are bounded, and moreover h(x,y) is *T-periodic in x, then the Lagrangian function* $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ *is weakly* lower semicontinuous in H_T^1 .

Next we show coercivity.

Lemma 2: Under the assumption of Lemma 1, the Lagrangian function $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ is coercive in H_T^1 .

Proof: Since the bottom topography is bounded, $|h(x,y)| \leq M_2$, we have the estimate

$$\int [(\beta y + h(x,y))\psi] dx dy \le (\beta + M_2) \int |\psi| dx dy$$

$$\le C_1/\epsilon + C_2 \epsilon ||\psi||_1^2, \quad (3.10)$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz, Youngs, and Poincaré inequalities, where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are constants depending on β, M_2, T , and $\epsilon > 0$ is to be determined. Similarly,

$$\int \left[\int_0^{\psi} g(s)ds \right] dxdy \leq M_1 \int |\psi| dxdy \leq C_3/\epsilon + C_4 \epsilon ||\psi||_1^2.$$
(3.11)

Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \psi, \nabla \psi) - (\beta y + h(x, y), \psi)$$

$$+ \int \left[\int_0^{\psi} g(s) ds \right] dx dy$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - C_2 \epsilon - C_4 \epsilon \right) \|\psi\|_1^2 - C_1 / \epsilon - C_3 / \epsilon, \qquad (3.12)$$

where we can take $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{2} - C_2 \epsilon - C_4 \epsilon > 0$. Therefore, the estimate (3.12) implies that

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi) \rightarrow \infty$$
 as $\|\psi\|_1 \rightarrow \infty$,

i.e., $\mathcal{L}(\psi)$ is coercive. This completes the proof of Lemma 2. By Theorem 1.2 in Ref. 18, we conclude there exists a weak solution $\psi(x,y)$ in H_T^1 of (2.4), i.e., there exists a weak

zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic wave.

Actually this weak solution $\psi(x,y)$ is smooth (with continuous second order derivatives) as along as h(x,y) and $g(\psi)$ are smooth. In fact, it follows from the L^p -elliptic theory 17 that ψ is in H^p for any $p < \infty$. The Sobolev embedding theorem 17 implies that ψ is also in the Hölder space $C^{1,\alpha}$, and, furthermore, the Schauder theory¹⁷ yields that ψ , is in fact in $C^{2,\alpha}$.

In conclusion, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 1: Consider the steady quasigeostrophic waves satisfying

$$\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} + \beta y + h(x, y) = g(\psi),$$
 (3.13)

on the zonal domain (β -channel)

$$-\infty < x < \infty$$
, $0 < y < 1$,

where $\psi(x,y)$ is the stream function satisfying the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition at y=0 and y=1; β >0 is the meridional gradient of the Coriolis parameter; h(x,y) is the smooth bottom topography; and the potential vorticity field $g(\psi)$ is a smooth function. Assume that the bottom topography h(x,y) and the potential vorticity field $g(\psi)$ are bounded.

- (i) If h(x,y) is T-periodic in x, then there exists a smooth zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic wave of period T;
- (ii) If h=h(y) is independent of x, then, for any T>0, there exists a smooth zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic wave of period T.

IV. UNIQUENESS AND NONLINEAR STABILITY OF ZONAL PERIODIC PATTERNS

In this section, we discuss the uniqueness and nonlinear stability of zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic waves. We assume that $g(\psi)$ is increasing and passes through the origin, i.e., g(0) = 0. This implies that

$$g(s)s \ge 0, \tag{4.14}$$

$$(g(s_1) - g(s_2))(s_1 - s_2) \ge 0,$$
 (4.15)

for all s. We can now use a result in Zeidler, ¹⁹ Corollary 26.13, p. 572, to conclude that the zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic waves claimed in Theorem 1 are unique. Thus, we have the following result:

Theorem 2: Assume the conditions in Theorem 1. Moreover, assume that the potential vorticity field $g(\psi)$ is increasing and passes through the origin (g(0)=0), as well as being

Then, the zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic waves whose existence is shown in the proof of Theorem 1 are unique.

For example, potential vorticity fields $g(\psi)$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2 are $g(\psi) = \tanh(\psi)$ and $g(\psi)$ = $\arctan(\psi)$. Note that $\tanh(s)$ is increasing, $\tanh(0) = 0$, and $arctan(\psi)$ is increasing, arctan(0) = 0.

Under a slight additional condition, the corresponding unique zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic waves can be shown to be nonlinearly stable (in the sense of Liapunov). For existence (Theorem 1) and uniqueness (Theorem 2) of zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic waves, we have assumed that $g(\cdot)$ is bounded and increasing. The nonlinear stability result (in the spirit of Arnold's first theorem) in Holm et al. 7 requires that the derivative of the inverse of $g(\cdot)$ to be bounded between two positive constants. This implies that the derivative of $g(\cdot)$ itself is also bounded between two positive constants, which further implies that the potential vorticity field $g(\cdot)$ is unbounded. Thus the nonlinear stability result in Ref. 7 does not directly apply in our case. Note also that some other nonlinear stability results for steady quasigeostrophic waves are in the spirit of Arnold's second theorem, which requires that the inverse of g (and thus g itself) to be decreasing (e.g., Refs. 9-12) does not apply to our case either.

However, by following Holm *et al.*, ²⁰ we modify the inverse of g (or g itself) so that it satisfies the nonlinear stability conditions in Holm et al.,7 and thus we can still show nonlinear stability, under suitable conditions on the bottom topography h(x,y), β parameter, and period T.

We illustrate this idea in an example with the potential vorticity field $g(\psi) = \arctan(\psi)$. As we remarked above, in this case, we have a unique zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic wave of period T, $\psi_0(x,y)$, when topography h(x,y) satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1. In the following we find sufficient conditions so that $\psi_0(x,y)$ is nonlinearly stable.

Recall that

$$\Delta \psi_0 - \frac{1}{R^2} \psi_0 + \beta y + h(x, y) = \arctan(\psi_0), \tag{4.16}$$

or

$$\psi_0 = \tan(\Delta \psi_0 - (1/R^2) \psi_0 + \beta y + h(x, y)). \tag{4.17}$$

Note that $(d/ds)\tan(s) = [1/\cos^2(s)] > 0$ (for $-\pi/2 < s < \pi/2$), but this derivative is not bounded between two positive constants.

As in Ref. 20 we consider the conserved functional

$$H_C(\psi) = \int \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \psi)^2 + \frac{1}{2R^2} \psi^2 + C(q) \right] dx dy, \quad (4.18)$$

where the potential vorticity $q(x,y) = \Delta \psi - (1/R^2) \psi + \beta y + h(x,y)$, and C(q) is a conserved quantity called a Casimir function. To complete the nonlinear stability argument, we need to choose C(q) so that ψ_0 is a critical point of $H_C(\psi)$, which requires that $C(q) = -\int_0^q g^{-1}(s) ds$, and that $-C''(q) = (g^{-1})'$ is bounded between two positive constants.

In the case $g(s) = \arctan(s)$ we have

$$C(s) = -\int_{0}^{s} \tan(s) ds = \log(|\cos(s)|), \tag{4.19}$$

for $-\pi/2 < s < \pi/2$. So C(s) is convex downward, but C''(s) is unbounded below. We modify C(s) to $\widetilde{C}(s)$ so that $-\widetilde{C}''(s)$ is bounded between two positive constants and ψ_0 is a critical point of $H_{\widetilde{C}}(\psi)$.

To this end, we assume that the potential vorticity $q_0(x,y)$ corresponding to the zonally periodic steady state takes values within $(-\pi/2,\pi/2)$, the domain of definition of $\tan(s)$. We denote that $q_0(x,y)$ takes values in $[-(\pi/2)+c_1,(\pi/2)-c_2]$, where $0 < c_1,c_2 < \pi/2$. Actually, this adds a condition on the bottom topography h(x,y) and β ; see (4.16). With this assumption, we can then modify C(s) by cutting it off outside $-(\pi/2)+c_1 \le s \le (\pi/2)-c_2$, and patch two quadratic polynomials smoothly (matching the first and second order derivatives) at end points $s=-(\pi/2)+c_1,(\pi/2)-c_2$. Namely, we define

$$\widetilde{C}(s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\cos^2(-\pi/2 + c_1)} s^2 + a_1 s + b_1, & s \le -\frac{\pi}{2} + c_1 \\ C(s) = \log|\cos(s)|, & -\frac{\pi}{2} + c_1 \le s \le \frac{\pi}{2} - c_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2\cos^2(\pi/2 - c_2)} s^2 + a_2 s + b_2, & s \ge \frac{\pi}{2} - c_2 \end{cases}$$

where a_1,b_1,a_2,b_2 are appropriately chosen constants.

Since \widetilde{C} and C coincide on the interval $[\min q_0, \max q_0]$, it follows that ψ_0 is a critical point of $H_{\widetilde{C}}(\psi)$. Moreover, -C'' is bounded between two positive constants

$$0 < \frac{1}{\cos^{2}(\pi/2 - \max(c_{1}, c_{2}))} \le -\tilde{C}''(s)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\cos^{2}(\pi/2 - \min(c_{1}, c_{2}))},$$
(4.20)

for all s.

Then we can use the nonlinear stability result in Holm *et al.*⁷ for (multilayer) quasigeostrophic steady flows, or follow the argument in Holm *et al.*,²⁰ to conclude nonlinear stability for the unique zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic waves claimed in Theorem 2. Note that for the periodic zonal channel here, the argument in Ref. 7 still goes through in our case. See Benzi *et al.*⁸ for discussions on zonal periodic boundary conditions. Therefore we have the following result:

Theorem 3: Assume that the bottom topography h(x,y) satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1 and consider the case with the potential vorticity field $g(\psi) = \arctan(\psi)$. By Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, there exists a unique zonally periodic (with period T) steady quasigeostrophic wave $\psi_0(x,y)$ with the corresponding potential vorticity $q_0(x,y)$.

If the potential vorticity field $q_0(x,y)$ takes values in a closed interval within $(-(\pi/2), (\pi/2))$, the domain of definition for $g^{-1}(s) = \tan(s)$, then the unique zonally periodic quasigeostrophic wave is nonlinearly stable (in the sense of Liapunov) in the norm $\int [(\nabla \psi)^2 + (1/R^2) \psi^2 + (\Delta^2 \psi)^2] dx dy$.

We remark that, although the nonlinear stability conditions are in terms of potential vorticity, they equivalently put constraints on the bottom topography, β parameter, and zonal period T.

V. DISCUSSIONS

We have found conditions for the existence, uniqueness, and nonlinear stability of zonally periodic steady quasigeostrophic waves in a β -plane channel. Namely, these waves exist and are unique, provided (i) the bottom topography h(x,y) is bounded, and (ii) the potential vorticity field $g(\psi)$ is bounded, and (for uniqueness) the function g is increasing and passes through the origin. Moreover, the zonal periodic wave in the case with $g(\psi) = \arctan(\psi)$ is shown to be nonlinearly stable in the sense of Liapunov, under a boundedness condition for the potential vorticity field for this zonal periodic wave, or equivalently, under suitable conditions on the bottom topography, β parameter, and zonal period T. This stability analysis can also be performed for the case $g(\psi) = \tanh(\psi)$ or other cases.

We also remark that if the bottom topography is independent of zonal direction, h = h(y), then (2.4) becomes

$$\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} + \beta y + h(y) = g(\psi).$$
 (5.21)

In this case, there exists *x-independent* nonlinear quasigeostrophic waves satisfying

$$\psi_{yy} + \beta y + h(y) = g(\psi(y)),$$
 (5.22)

which may be regarded as zonally periodic with any period.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Part of this research was performed while the first two authors were visiting the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences at Cambridge University. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Grant No. DMS-9704345.

- ¹J. Pedlosky, *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*, 2nd ed. (Springer, Berlin, 1987).
- ²B. Cushman-Roisin, *Introduction to Geophysical Fluid Dynamics* (Prentice–Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1994).
- ³A. Hasegawa and K. Mima, "Pseudo-three-dimensional turbulence in magnetized nonuniform plasma," Phys. Fluids **21**, 87 (1978).
- ⁴S. H. Schochet, "Singular limits in bounded domains for quasilinear symmetric hyperbolic systems having a vorticity equation," J. Diff. Eqns. **68**, 400 (1987).
- ⁵S. P. Meacham and G. R. Flierl, "Finite-amplitude waves on barotropic shear layers and jets," Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. **56**, 3 (1991).
- ⁶J. Nycander, "Steady vortices in plasmas and geophysical flows," Chaos **4**, 253 (1994).
- ⁷D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, T. Ratiu, and A. Weinstein, "Nonlinear stability of fluid and plasma equilibria," Phys. Rep. **123**, 1 (1985).
- ⁸R. Benzi, S. Pierini, A. Vulpiani, and E. Salusti, "On nonlinear hydrodynamic stability of planetary vortices," Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 20, 293 (1982).

- ⁹M. E. McIntyre and T. G. Shepherd, "An exact local conservation theorem for finite-amplitude disturbances to nonparallel shear flows, with remarks on Hamiltonian structure and on Arnold stability theorems," J. Fluid Mech. 181, 527 (1987).
- ¹⁰M. Mu, "Nonlinear stability of two-dimensional quasigeostrophic motions," Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 65, 57 (1992).
- ¹¹M. Mu and T. G. Shepherd, "On Arnold's second nonlinear stability for two-dimensional quasigeostrophic flow," Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 75, 21 (1994).
- ¹²R. Ripa, "Arnold's second stability theorem for the equivalent barotropic model," J. Fluid Mech. 257, 597 (1993).
- ¹³ A. J. Bourgeois and J. T. Beale, "Validity of the quasigeostrophic model for large-scale flow in the atmosphere and ocean," SIAM (Soc. Ind. Appl. Math.) J. Math. Anal. 25, 1023 (1994).
- ¹⁴A. Babin, A. Mahalov, and B. Nicolaenko, "Global splitting, integrability and regularity of 3D Euler and Navier-Stokes equations for uniformly rotating fluids," Eur. J. Mech. B/Fluids 15, 291 (1996).
- ¹⁵A. Babin, A. Mahalov, and B. Nicolaenko, "Global regularity and integrability of 3D Euler and Navier-Stokes equations for uniformly rotating fluids," Asymptotic Analysis 15, 103 (1997).
- ¹⁶J. L. Bona, D. K. Bose, and R. E. L. Turner, "Finite-amplitude steady waves in stratified fluids," J. Math. Pure Appl. 62, 389 (1983).
- ¹⁷D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd ed. (Spring, Berlin, 1983).
- ¹⁸M. Struwe, Variational Methods: Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems (Springer, Berlin, 1990).
- ¹⁹E. Zeidler, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications (Springer, Berlin, 1990), Vol. II.
- ²⁰D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, and T. Ratiu, "Nonlinear stability of Kelvin-Stuart cat's eyes flows for compressible fluids," in *Nonlinear Systems of Partial Differential Equations in Applied Mathematics*, edited by D. D. Holm, J. M. Hyman, and B. Nicolaenko, AMS Lectures in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 23-Part 2, pp. 171, 1986.